

*Agri. Res. J. Kerala, 1975, 13 (2)*

### A NOTE ON THE USE OF CHILEAN NATURAL POTASSIUM NITRATE FOR MANURING COCONUT

The coconut palm has been under cultivation in India from very early times and at present occupies a total area of 1.1 million hectares. Studies reported by earlier workers have established clearly that proper fertilization with N, P and K helps in increasing the productive capacity of coconut palms.

Marar and Pandalai (1961) reported that Chilean nitrate proved superior to Ammonium sulphate as a source of nitrogen for coconut. Ramanandan *et al* (1962) reported that an N K fertilizer made from sea bitterns can be used in the place of ammonium sulphate for coconut. The commonly used straight fertilizers for manuring coconut at the present time are ammonium sulphate and urea for nitrogen; muriate of potash and potassium sulphate for potash.

Chilean natural potassium nitrate containing 15 per cent nitrogen and 12 per cent potash was made available by M/s. Rallis (India) Ltd. for trials as a manure for coconut. The material undoubtedly required some fortification with other fertilizers for application to the coconut in order to get the required quantities of nutrients in the right proportion. The material was compared with the ordinarily used fertilizers, ammonium sulphate and muriate of potash.

A trial with randomised block design using four treatments and eight replications (single tree plots) was started in 1962 in field X of the Central Coconut Research Station (present Central Plantation Crops Research Institute), Kasaragod and continued upto 1969. The replications were formed on the basis of pre-treatment yield data for the period 1958-61. The eight replications actually represented 8 yield groups, in descending order. The mean pre-treatment yield for all the four treatments was 57.7 nuts per palm per year. The treatments were (T<sub>1</sub>) Chilean natural potassium nitrate 3.8 kg (to supply 0.34 kg N and 0.45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O) + Superphosphate (ordinary) 1.4 kg (to supply 0.23 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>); (T<sub>2</sub>) Chilean natural potassium nitrate 2.3 kg. + Muriate of potash 0.36 kg. + Superphosphate (ordinary) 1.4 kg; (T<sub>3</sub>) Ammonium sulphate 1.7 kg. + Superphosphate (ordinary) 1.4 kg. + Muriate of potash (ordinary) 0.91 kg. and (T<sub>4</sub>) Control — no manure.

In the case of coconut the effect of manuring on yield will be seen only after 2½ years after manuring. The statistical analysis of the yield data for individual years (1964-69) did not reveal any difference between treatments,

**Table 1**  
**Analysis of variance**

Source of variation	d. f.	S. S.	M. S.	F.
Years	5	17938.7	3587.74	17.00***
Treatments	3	858.5	286.17	1.36
Group	7	34956.3	4993.76	23.67***
Years × Treatment interaction	15	3575.6	238.37	1.13
Years × Groups	35	6278.2	179.38	0.85
Treatment × Groups	21	17312.3	824.40	3.91***
Error	105	22154.3	210.99	
Total	191	103073.9		
*** Significant at 0.1% level		34956.3	4993.76	

**Table 2**  
**Mean values**

Yield groups	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>4</sub>	G <sub>5</sub>	G <sub>6</sub>	G <sub>7</sub>	G <sub>8</sub>	Mean
Treatments									
T <sub>1</sub>	112.8	83.2	72.2	66.0	48.8	67.0	29.0	57.3	67.0
T <sub>2</sub>	73.5	75.3	77.3	73.0	63.0	62.0	48.5	30.5	62.9
T <sub>3</sub>	81.5	73.8	74.5	81.3	48.8	46.0	70.7	52.5	66.1
T <sub>4</sub>	80.2	76.8	71.2	57.8	57.8	54.2	44.7	52.7	62.0
Mean	87.0	77.3	73.8	69.5	54.8	57.3	48.2	48.3	

Interaction is significant

S. E. = 5.93

C. D. = 16.4

Pooled analysis of the data for this period also did not reveal any statistically significant differences between treatments. The results are summarised in Tables 1 and 2. Between yield groups and between years differences were significant

Table 2 (Contd.)

(b)	Years X Mean	(c)	Groups	Mean	
				Pre treatment	Post treatment
	1964	71.5			
	1965	45.6	G <sub>1</sub>	82.5	87.0
	1966	69.6	G <sub>2</sub>	72.4	77.0
	1967	75.5	G <sub>3</sub>	67.6	73.8
	1968	62.4	G <sub>4</sub>	63.9	69.5
	1969	62.5	G <sub>5</sub>	53.9	54.8
	General Mean	64.5	G <sub>6</sub>	49.4	57.3
	S. E. of Mean	2.57	G <sub>7</sub>	40.0	48.2
	C. D. between		G <sub>8</sub>	31.7	48.3
	means	7.10	Mean	57.7	64.5
			S. E.		2.96
			C. D.		8.2

( $P = 0.001$ ). Interactions of the years with treatments and yield groups were very little where as treatment x yield group interaction was highly significant ( $P = 0.001$ ) thereby showing that the treatments have not responded uniformly in the different yield groups.

However, when the usual fertilizers (Ammonium sulphate, super phosphate and muriate of potash) were added there was a mean increase of 4.1 nuts over the control plot. But the increase in the yield of nuts when chilean natural potassium nitrate was added along with superphosphate ( $T_1$ ) is 5 nuts, over the control plot (no manure). So chilean natural potassium nitrate can be used as an N K fertilizer for coconut.

#### Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to Shri K. V. Ahamed Bavappa, Director, and Shri N. G. Pillai, Soil Chemist, C. P. C. R. I., Kasaragod for their interest, to Shri Jacob Mathew, Statistician of the Institute for helping in the analysis of the yield data and to Messrs. Rallis (India) Ltd. for providing free sample of the Chilean natural potassium nitrate.

സംഗ്രഹം

പതിനഞ്ചു് ശതമാനം നൈട്രജനും (പാക്യജനകം) പത്രങ്ങളുൾക്കൊണ്ടു് പൊട്ടാഷ്യം (ക്ഷാരം) അടങ്ങുന്ന ചിലിയൻ നാച്യുൽ പൊട്ടാഷ്യം നൈട്രേറ്റും സാധാരണ ഉപയോഗിക്കപ്പെടുന്ന രാസവളങ്ങളായ അമോണിയം സൾഫേറ്റും മ്യൂറിയേറ്റു് ഓഫ് പൊട്ടാഷ്യം താരതമ്യപ്പെടുത്തിക്കൊണ്ടു് ഒരു പരീക്ഷണം നടത്തുകയുണ്ടായി. അമോണിയം സൾഫേറ്റിനും മ്യൂറിയേറ്റു് ഓഫ് പൊട്ടാഷ്യിനും പകരമായി തെങ്ങിനു് നൈട്രേറ്റു് — റിപ്ലാസ്മെന്റ് (പരികൂലജനക—ക്ഷാര) വളമായി ചിലിയൻ നാച്യുൽ പൊട്ടാഷ്യം നൈട്രേറ്റു് ഉപയോഗിക്കാമെന്നു് പരീക്ഷണം വ്യക്തമാക്കുന്നു.

REFERENCES

Anonymus 1966. Annual Report of the Central Coconut Research Station, Kasaragod and Kayamkulam for the period July 1963 to June 1964. Indian Central Coconut Committee, Ernakulam.

Marar, M. M. K. and Pandalai, K. M. 1961. A comparison of Chilean nitrate with ammonium sulphate as a nitrogen source for the coconut palm. *Indian Coconut J.* 14, 110-122.

Menon, K. P. V. and Pandalai, K. M. 1958. *A Coconut Palm A Monograph*, Indian Central Coconut Committee, Ernakulam.

Potascheme 1958. Proceedings of the sixth meeting of the sponsors of Coconut Fertilizer Demonstration Scheme.

Ramanandan, P. L., Nagarajan, M. and Pandalai, K. M. 1962. On the possible utility of certain by-products of manurial value as fertilizers for the coconut palms *Indian Coconut J.* 15 56-61.

CPCRI, Kasaragod,  
Kerala

P. L. RAMANANDAN

(M. S. Received: 26-6-1975)