

# Variation in Net Carbon Assimilation and Related Parameters in Coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.) Under Field Conditions\*

K. V. Kasturi Bai<sup>‡</sup>, V. Rajagopal and D. Balasimha<sup>†</sup>

Central Plantation Crops Research Institute, Kasaragod 671 124, India

<sup>†</sup>Present address: CPCRI Regional Station, Vittal 574 243, India

Net carbon assimilation ( $P_N$ ), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) and transpiration rate ( $Tr$ ) were measured in coconut genotypes during non-stress and stress periods under field conditions. The parameters showed significant differences only between the two periods. Intrinsic ( $P_N/g_s$ ) as well as instantaneous water use efficiency ( $P_N/Tr$ ), intrinsic carboxylation efficiency ( $P_N/CI$ ) as well as mesophyll efficiency ( $CI/g_s$ ) showed significant differences between non-stress and stress periods. Relation between the gas exchange parameters was understood by working out correlations. The results indicated the involvement of both stomatal and nonstomatal limitation in net carbon assimilation in coconut during stress periods.

**Keywords:** Coconut, carbon assimilation, conductance, stress, transpiration rate, water use efficiency.

## INTRODUCTION

Coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.) is a perennial plantation tree crop which grows well under warm humid weather conditions of the tropics. Since coconut is mainly grown under rainfed condition, both agrometeorological variables as well as soil water-deficit affect the growth and productivity of this crop during summer months (Ramadasan *et al.*, 1991). Impact of meteorological variables on the water relation of this crop has been reported by Kasturi Bai *et al.* (1988). The genotype which can maintain the water balance effectively by regulating the transpirational water loss during drought situation has been categorized as drought-tolerant by Rajagopal *et al.* (1990). Since stomata play an important role in controlling the balance between photosynthetic  $CO_2$  assimilation and transpiration (Cowan and Farquhar, 1977), the efficient stomatal closure can limit  $CO_2$  diffusion into the leaf, thus reducing the  $CO_2$  assimilation rate. The impact of agrometeorological variables on gas exchange characteristic of perennial plantation tree crops like rubber (Samsuddin and Impens, 1979), coffee (Nunes, 1988), cashew (Balasimha, 1991) and

cocoa (Joly and Hahn, 1989; Balasimha *et al.*, 1991) has been well established, but not in coconut. Hence the present work was undertaken to assess the variation in net carbon assimilation rate and related parameters, viz. stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, etc. in the adult coconut palms growing under rainfed condition during non-stress and stress periods under field conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Coconut palms (18 to 22-year-old) growing in red sandy loam soil under rainfed condition at the Central Plantation Crops Research Institute, Kasaragod, under similar cultural and management practices were the material for the observations. The experimental material included one local tall variety (WCT), three dwarf varieties, viz. Chowghat Orange Dwarf (COD), Malayan Yellow Dwarf (MYD) Gangabondam (GB) and five hybrids, viz. WCT × WCT, WCT × COD, COD × WCT, Laccadive Ordinary (LO) × GB and LO × COD. The observations were carried out from six palms per genotype for three to four consecutive days twice in a year, i.e. just after the rains (September, non-stress) and during the summer months (April, stress) for two consecutive years. Photosynthetic parameters were measured

<sup>‡</sup>For correspondence.

\*CPCRI contribution no. 912.

between 10 and 11.30 hrs, in detached leaflets as described by Rajagopal *et al.* (1987) for water relation components.

Net carbon assimilation ( $P_N$ ), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) and transpiration rate ( $Tr$ ) were measured using the portable photosynthesis system (Li-6200, Li Cor Inc, USA). The measurements were carried out with one litre chamber enclosing up to 15.5 cm<sup>2</sup> leaf area and equilibrated for one to two min. Two observations were made for each leaflet and the measurement was made on four leaflets from the opposite side of the leaf and the mean values of these four sets of readings were taken as one observation. The same instrument simultaneously measure other parameters, viz. ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $C_a$ ), radiation, vapour pressure deficit (VPD) and temperature. The internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $C_i$ ) was computed in the instrument using the initial values of  $P_N$ ,  $Tr$ ,  $C_a$  and leaf resistance. The ratios of  $P_N/Tr$ ,  $P_N/g_s$ ,  $P_N/C_i$  and  $C_i/g_s$  were calculated from the data of the gas exchange characteristics. Data on the daily pan evaporation rate was obtained from the climatological station established at the Institute and soil moisture content in the basins of the palms up to a depth of one meter was determined gravimetrically as per the standard procedures.

Significance between the parameters was worked out by using analysis of variance as applied to completely randomized block design and correlation coefficient by standard method.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### *Agrometeorological variables during non-stress and stress periods*

The microclimate at the time of taking the observations indicated clear cut differences between non-stress and stress periods (Table 1). The non-stress period was characterized by irradiance of 1067  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , mean ambient temperature of 33.3°C and a VPD of 2.2 KPa, whereas the stress period, by an increase in radiation (1444  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ), air temperature (36°C) and VPD (3.3 KPa). This coupled with a high pan evaporation rate (5.3 mm) as compared to the non-stress period (3.6 mm) indicated high evaporative demand of the atmosphere, leading to atmospheric drought. The soil moisture content,

**Table 1. Agrometeorological variables during non-stress and stress periods (mean and range)**

Variable	Non-stress	Stress
PAR ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	1067 (1000-1070)	1444 (1350-1470)
Air temperature (°C)	33.3 (31.4-34.1)	36.1 (34.7-37.3)
VPD (KPa)	2.2 (1.9-2.8)	3.3 (3.1-3.6)
Pan evaporation (mm day <sup>-1</sup> )	3.6 (3.3-3.8)	5.3 (5.2-5.5)

5.6% during stress period as compared to 8.7% during non-stress period which accounted for 36% reduction during stress period indicated the soil water deficit during the period.

### *Gas exchange characteristics*

The observation on gas exchange characteristics during non-stress and stress periods is given in Tables 2 and 3 and the correlation between these parameters during stress period is given in Table 4.  $P_N$ ,  $g_s$  and  $Tr$  showed significant differences between the two periods. However genotypic differences were not significant (Table 2).  $P_N$  rate ranged between 6.7 and 9.0  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  during non-stress period while it ranged between 4.0 and 5.3  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  during stress period, thus showing 42% reduction during stress period as compared with the non-stress period. Similarly  $g_s$  showed 64% reduction during stress period as compared with the non-stress period. This shows that  $g_s$  is affected more than the  $P_N$  rate. This variation in  $P_N$  and  $g_s$  has been widely reported in annual as well as perennial crops (Farquhar and Sharkey, 1982; Balasimha *et al.*, 1991). The relation between  $P_N$  and  $g_s$  has been found to be significant during stress period ( $r^2 = 46^{**}$ ,  $P = 1\%$ ), thus indicating that high  $P_N$  is associated with higher  $g_s$  and reduction can be attributed to the stress-induced stomatal closure. Farquhar and Sharkey (1982) reported that  $g_s$  impart more limitation to  $P_N$  rate during abiotic stress. Parallel with the reduction in  $P_N$ ,  $Tr$  rate also showed 37% reduction during stress period.

The functional significance of stomatal closure in intrinsic ( $P_N/g_s$ ) and instantaneous water use efficiency ( $P_N/Tr$ ) has been discussed by Comstock and

**Table 2.** Genotypic variation in  $P_N$ ,  $g_s$  and  $Tr$  in coconut. (mean of non-stress (no-str) and stress (str) periods,  $n = 6$ )

Genotype	$P_N$ ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )		$g_s$ ( $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )		$Tr$ ( $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	
	no-str	str	no-str	str	no-str	str
WCT	6.88	3.98	0.45	0.14	8.30	5.00
COD	7.72	5.00	0.31	0.16	7.27	5.87
MYD	6.67	5.01	0.50	0.16	8.10	6.23
GB	7.68	5.34	0.43	0.15	8.77	6.60
WCT $\times$ WCT	7.86	4.14	0.51	0.15	8.90	4.87
WCT $\times$ COD	8.68	4.48	0.39	0.14	7.63	4.47
COD $\times$ WCT	8.85	4.00	0.37	0.14	7.80	4.43
LO $\times$ GB	8.41	5.32	0.35	0.15	7.07	4.53
LO $\times$ COD	9.01	4.67	0.35	0.12	8.80	3.80
CD ( $P = 0.05$ )	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS: Not-significant.

**Table 3.**  $P_N$  rate and related parameters during non-stress and stress periods. (Mean values  $n = 54$ )

Parameter	Non-stress	Stress	CD ( $P = 0.05$ )
$P_N$ ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	7.97	4.66	0.586
$g_s$ ( $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	0.41	0.15	0.034
$Tr$ ( $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	8.07	5.09	0.542
$C_i$ ( $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$ )	283.4	261.8	5.552
$P_N/g_s$ ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	20.51	32.78	3.118
$P_N/Tr$ ( $\mu\text{mol mmol}^{-1}$ )	1.00	0.91	0.08
$P_N/C_i$ ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ $\mu\text{l}^{-1} \text{l}^{-1} \times 10^{-2}$ )	2.85	1.76	0.286
$C_i/g_s$ ( $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$ $\text{mol}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	0.74	1.87	0.154

Ehleringer (1993). The higher decrease in  $g_s$  more than that of  $P_N$  rate lead to an increase in  $P_N/g_s$  (60%) during stress period as compared with the non-stress period. As reported by Maroco *et al.* (1997) the higher  $P_N/g_s$  implies an efficient stomatal regulatory capacity of coconut palms during stress period. The negative correlation observed between  $P_N/g_s$  and  $g_s$  ( $r^2 = -0.60^{**}$ ,  $P = 1\%$ ) corroborates with the report (Table 4). However,  $P_N/Tr$  showed significant reduction during stress period ( $P = 5\%$ ) as compared with the non-stress period. This can be attributed to the higher reduction in  $P_N$  than that of  $Tr$  rate during stress period. High correlation observed between  $g_s$  and  $P_N$  ( $r^2 = 0.46^*$ ,  $P = 5\%$ ) as well as  $g_s$  and  $Tr$  ( $r^2 = 0.90^{**}$ ,  $P = 1\%$ ) suggests a consequential close relationship between  $P_N$  and  $Tr$  (Table 4). The significant and positive relationship between

$P_N/g_s$  and  $P_N/Tr$  supports the above observation (Table 4).

$C_i$  showed 8% decrease during stress period as compared with the non-stress period. Decrease in  $C_i$  in water-stressed *Phaseolus* plants has been reported by Cornic and Briantais (1991). No correlation has been observed between  $P_N$  and  $C_i$ , thus indicating nonstomatal limitation of  $P_N$  (Anderson *et al.*, 1995). The ratio of  $P_N/C_i$  which measures the intrinsic carboxylation efficiency (Farquhar and Sharkey, 1982) showed 38% reduction during stress period and showed significant positive relationship with  $P_N$  ( $r^2 = 0.73^{**}$ ). Similarly  $C_i/g_s$  which gives an indication of the mesophyll capacity (Sheshshayee *et al.*, 1996) showed an increase of 155% during stress period as compared with the non-stress period. The decreased  $P_N/C_i$  ratio as well as increased  $C_i/g_s$  ratio indicate the reduced mesophyll capacity during stress period. Sheshshayee *et al.* (1996) also attributed the reduction in assimilation rate during water stress to the reduced mesophyll capacity. The negative correlation ( $r^2 = -0.54^{**}$ ) observed between  $P_N$  and  $C_i/g_s$  ratio during stress period confirms this.

Thus the following inferences can be drawn from the above results: coconut palm adapt to short dry season of summer months by stomatal closure, thus regulating the transpiration rate. The positive correlation between  $P_N$  and  $g_s$  as well as the negative correlation between  $P_N$  and  $C_i/g_s$  clearly indicate that both stomatal and non-stomatal factors influence the net carbon assimilation rate in coconut during stress period.

**Table 4. Correlation coefficient ( $r^2$ ) for gas exchange characteristics during stress period in coconut ( $n = 54$ )**

	Tr	gs	P <sub>N</sub> /gs	P <sub>N</sub> /Tr	P <sub>N</sub> /C <sub>i</sub>	C <sub>i</sub> /gs
P <sub>N</sub>	0.78**	0.46*	0.42*	0.46*	0.73**	-0.54**
Tr		0.90**	-0.91**	-0.79**	0.61**	-
gs			-0.60**	-	0.38*	-0.96**
P <sub>N</sub> /gs				0.60**	-	0.51**
P <sub>N</sub> /Tr					-	0.57**
P <sub>N</sub> /C <sub>i</sub>						-0.48**

\*, \*\*: Significant at 5% and 1% probability levels respectively; -, not significant.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr M. K. Nair, former Director, CPCRI, for the encouragement and for providing necessary facilities for the work. Thanks are also due to our colleagues in the Plant Physiology and Crop Improvement Divisions for providing necessary help and germplasm material during the investigations and also to Mr Amarnath for the statistical analysis of the data.

## REFERENCES

- Anderson JE, Nowak RS, Rasmuson KE, Toft NL 1995 Gas exchange and resource use efficiency of *Leymus cinereus* (Poaceae), diurnal and seasonal responses to naturally declining soil moisture. *Am J Bot* 82 : 699-708
- Balasimha D 1991 Photosynthetic characteristics of cashew trees. *Photosynthetica* 25 : 419-423
- Balasimha D, Daniel EV, Bhat PG 1991 Influence of environmental factors on photosynthesis in cocoa trees. *Agric For Meteorol* 53 : 15-21
- Comstock J, Ehleringer J 1993 Stomatal response to humidity in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) implications for maximum transpiration rate, water use efficiency and productivity. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 20 : 669-691
- Cornic G, Briantais JM 1991 Partitioning of photosynthetic electron flow between CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> reduction in a C<sub>3</sub> leaf (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) at different concentration and during drought stress. *Planta* 183 : 178-184
- Cowan LR, Farquhar GD 1977 Stomatal function in relation to leaf metabolism and environment. In DH Jennings ed, *Integration of Activity in the Higher Plants*. Univ Press Cambridge, pp 471-505
- Farquhar GD, Sharkey TD 1982 Stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol* 33 : 317-345
- Joly RJ, Hahn DT 1989 Net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation of cacao seedlings during periods of plant water deficit. *Photosynth Res* 21 : 151-159
- Kasturi Bai KV, Voleti SR, Rajagopal V 1988 Water relations of coconut palms as influenced by environmental variables. *Agric For Meteorol* 43 : 193-199
- Maroco JP, Pereira JS, Chaves MM 1997 Stomatal responses to leaf to air vapour pressure deficit in Sahelian species. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 24 : 381-387
- Nunes MA 1988 Environmental effects on the stomatal and mesophyll regulation of photosynthesis in coffee leaves. *Photosynthetica* 22 : 547-553
- Rajagopal V, Kasturi Bai KV, Voleti SR 1990 Screening of coconut genotypes for drought tolerance. *Oleagineux* 45 : 215-223
- Rajagopal V, Sumathy Kutty Amma B, Patil KD 1987 Water relations of coconut palms affected with root (wilt) disease. *New Phytol* 105 : 289-293
- Ramadasan A, Balkrishnan TK, Rajagopal V 1991 Response of coconut genotypes to drought. *Indian Cocon J* 21 : 2-5
- Samsuddin Z, Impens I 1979 The development of photosynthetic rate with leaf age in *Hevea brasiliensis* Muell ARG clonal seedlings. *Photosynthetica* 13 : 267-270
- Seshshayee MS, Krishna Prasad BT, Nataraj KN, Shankar AG, Prasad TG, Udayakumar M 1996 Ratio of intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration to stomatal conductance is a reflection of mesophyll efficiency. *Curr Sci* 70 : 672-675